This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Official

Action dated 5 November 2004. Responsive to the rejections made in the Official

Action, Claim 1 has been amended to clarify the combination of elements which

form the invention of the subject Patent Application, and Claims 9 and 10 have

been cancelled.

In the Official Action, the Examiner rejected Claims 1, 2, 4 and 9 under 35

U.S.C. § 103(a), as being unpatentable over Halliburton, U.S. Patent 5,401,030,

however, the Examiner kindly indicated that Claim 10 would be allowable if

rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

Accordingly, Claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the subject matter

of Claims 9 and 10 therein. Thus, by the amendment to Claim 1, Claim 10 has

been effectively rewritten in independent form, including all of the limitations of

the base claim, Claim 1, and the only intervening claim, Claim 9. Thus, Claim 1

and the Claims dependent thereon should now be allowable. Thus there is now an

allowable generic claim, Claims 3, 5-8 and 11-17 should now be reconsidered and

allowed.

Page 7 of 8

MR1957-823

Serial Number: 10/753,427

Reply to Office Action dated 5 November 2004

It is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

For: ROSENBERG, KLEIN & LEE

David I. Klein

Registration #33,253

Dated: 24 Jan. 2005

Suite 101 3458 Ellicott Center Drive Ellicott City, MD 21043 (410) 465-6678

Customer No. 04586